

## REMARKS

This Amendment responds to the Office Action dated November 17, 2004. A diligent effort has been made to respond to the objections/rejections contained in this office action and reconsideration is hereby requested in view of the above claim amendments and these remarks.

### Status of Claims

Claims 2 and 44-55 were pending in the application at the time of the November 17<sup>th</sup> Office Action. Claim 2 has now been amended to incorporate the subject matter of allowed claim 53. Claims 45, 48 and 52 are amended for form. Claims 51 and 53 are cancelled. And new claims 56-84 are presented herewith.

### Rejections

Claims 2, 44-53 and 55 were rejected in the office action primarily over the AirMobile references. Claim 53 was not rejected, but was indicated as allowable if re-written into the independent form. Claim 2 has now been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 53, and thus claim 2 and its pending dependent claims are in condition for allowance.

New claims 56-84 are also in condition for allowance. Claims 56-60 depend from claim 2. Claims 61-69 claim a "redirector component," but otherwise include many of the same limitations as claim 2, and in particular include the limitations of claim 53. Likewise, claims 70-84 provide a method of redirection similar to system claims 2 and 44-56, as amended. Therefore, all of the claims are in condition for allowance.


Applicants strongly traverse the conclusions stated in the office action regarding the teachings of the AirMobile reference, in particular (but not limited to) the conclusion that the AirMobile reference teaches a wireless gateway, which it does not, and also that the AirMobile

reference teaches an API coupling a redirector component to a messaging server, which is also absent from that reference.

Finally, applicants request that the Examiner consider the IDS submissions received at the USPTO on October 23, 2003 and November 2, 2004, by completing the submitted PTO-1449 forms. If these IDS submissions are not in the file, applicants request that the Examiner bring this to the applicants attention in the next office communication.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "David B. Cochran". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

David B. Cochran  
(Reg. No. 39,142)

Jones Day  
North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
(216) 586-7506